

Norwegian Meteorological Institute

Condensable organics -Summary of issues and NMR workshop

David Simpson & Hilde Fagerli (EMEP MSC-W), Hugo Denier van der Gon (TNO) & other participants of NMR workshop

6th TFTEI Annual Meeting, 22.10.2020

EMEP MSC-W key output: 'blame-matrices'

- 0.30 - 0.20 - 0.10 - 0.05 - 0.01 - -0.01 - -0.05 - -0.10 - -0.20 - -0.30



EMEP model calculates:

 $\Delta PM_{2.5}$ / $\Delta Emis^{pm,i}$

.. for all emitter countries: *i*



EMEP MSC-W 'blame-matrices'

 $\Delta PM_{2.5}$ / $\Delta Emis^{pm,i}$

... for all emitter countries: i

???

 Of course, this only works if one knows
Emis^{pm,i} !





POA emissions

- Problems of OA emissions by now well known...
- Mainly semi-volatiles: SVOC
- Can be intermediate volatility VOC: IVOC
- Europe: Denier van der Gon et al., ACP, 2015, EMEP Reports 2015
 2019, 2020, Ots et al., ACP, 2016, Jiang et al, 2019
- Basically, countries report apples and oranges!



Trickiness of PM emissions - start of the story ...

Rethinking Organic Aerosols: Semivolatile Emissions and Photochemical Aging

Allen L. Robinson,¹* Neil M. Donahue,¹* Manish K. Shrivastava,¹ Emily A. Weitkamp,¹ Amy M. Sage,¹ Andrew P. Grieshop,¹ Timothy E. Lane,¹ Jeffrey R. Pierce,¹ Spyros N. Pandis^{1,2} **Messages:**

PM are not inert

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 6503–6519, 2015 www.atmos-chem-phys.net/15/6503/2015/ doi:10.5194/acp-15-6503-2015 © Author(s) 2015. CC Attribution 3.0 License.

(0)



Science 2007

Inventories may have serious problems!

Particulate emissions from residential wood combustion in Europe – revised estimates and an evaluation

H. A. C. Denier van der Gon¹, R. Bergström^{2,3}, C. Fountoukis⁴, C. Johansson^{5,6}, S. N. Pandis^{4,7}, D. Simpson^{8,9}, and A. J. H. Visschedijk¹

APPLES & ORANGES



Comparison to a consistent bottom-up highlights inconsistencies (yellow bars) TNO-newRC is the same method for all, but not the "truth" – Large uncertainties! ... but equal

Meteorological

Modelling of condensables, France (FR09)





Condensables "in" or "out" - it ain't that easy!

- Emission factors depend on:
 - ⊖ source
 - measurement conditions
 - Ambient temperature
 - Ambient C_{OM}
 - Operating conditions
 - etc.!





Robinson et al., 2010, JAWMA

=> NMR-SVOC Workshop, March 2020



- Workshop to bring together experts in:
 - emission measurements,
 - atmospheric chemistry,
 - inventory experts, and
 - Modellers
- to systematically consider and recommend best approaches for dealing with semi-volatile emission with regard to PM2.5.
- => guidance for UN-ECE, EU

The main questions:

- For which source categories are condensable organics important?
- How much condensables are produced from different:
 - \bigcirc combustion technologies?
 - measurement techniques?
- What is included in EMEP and other emission inventories?
- Can we specify the volatility distribution of condensables from major sources?
- Can we recommend a practical approach for inclusion (or exclusion) of condensables in (a) inventories, and (b) chemical transport models?



- ~35 experts, including: Chairs EMEP, TFIAM, TFMM, TFEIP, TFTEI
 - Centres: MSC-W, CEIP, CIAM; Inventories: TNO, CIAM, COPERT
 - European Commission, CONCAWE, US EPA
 - Experts: UBA Germany, SINTEF Norway, IVL, ACES, Swedish EPA Sweden, CITEPA, INERIS France, ECCC - Canada, Univ. Patras – Greece, PSI - Switzerland, INERIS - France, Univ. York -England, NC State University – USA

Key Messages (short version)

- 1 The current situation is untenable and unfair, in that the same activity produces very different PM emissions in national reporting.
- 2 Condensables should be included in future emission inventories and modelling.
- 3 If included, need to know how they are included!
- 4 The issues are complex! Emission factors depend on measurement technology and even weather!
- 5 Need to increase knowledge of activity data and condensables in national reporting and emissions methodologies.
- 6 Emission limit values for residential wood burning (eg EcoDesign) omit condensables. Need standards which are aimed at air quality issues.

Norwegian Meteorological Institute

Key Messages (short version)

- 7 Current PM-NMVOC split is artificial, and some organic compounds fall between the gaps. Ideally we would capture all compounds in emission inventories.
- 8 An interim solution might be to report PM component separately, e.g. EC, solid organic matter (OM), condensable OM, etc.
- 9 Don't forget intermediate volatility compounds (IVOC))
- 10 Use of the TNO REF2 emissions is a good first no-regret step, but these should be replaced by national estimates in due course... see roadmap Fig.
- 11 Longer term: many activities needed!
 - See longer note

Key Messages (short version)

- 12 Roadmap
 - Next slides....
- 13 Policy makers to consider possible implications with respect to potential adjustments of policy targets and base-year emissions.
- 14 Process faces several competing challenges e.g. speed versus practical difficulties and scientific completeness.

Towards Transparency (essential) and choices (who does what?)

A flow chart as a way forward?

Towards Transparency (essential) and choices (who to do what?)



* Colours indicate different disciplinary groups; agencies; measurements; emission experts; modellers

Suggestion from Hugo Denier van der Gon

Norwegian Meteorological

Roadmap – a cyclic approach?

Start with RWC and/or road transport

- First cycle 12 months?
- Top-down expert role in Year 1 can be large e.g. TNO data set
- Invite improvement through a TFEIP cycle
- Repeat cycle when more data come in Year 2 or 3?
- Suggest milestones when data delivery is needed (e.g. EMEP meetings etc.)
- Needs guidance and support! Making the process depend on (only) voluntary contributions leads to a new fruit basket with apples & oranges and more....
- In parallel research programmes needed which fuels the progress & uptake of new things (e.g. from US)
- Needs activity/discussion among TFEIP, TFTEI and modellers.

Update: MSC-W source-receptor calculations.

- Two sets of emissions in EMEP/MSC-W model calculations:
 - EMEP
 - **EMEPwRef2C** : small combustion replaced with TNO Ref2 estimate for PM
- 2018 status run and source receptor matrices run for both sets
- PM assumed inert
- **Status Report 1/2020**: https://www.emep.int/publ/emep2020_publications.html
 - Overall results, comparison to observations
 - Source Receptor tables for EMEP and EMEPwRef2C
- Country reports:
 - Detailed results per country (e.g. <u>https://www.emep.int/publ/reports/2020/Country_Reports/report_NL.pdf</u>)
- Web interface: https://aerocom-evaluation.met.no/main.php?project=emep&exp=EMEP_rep20



Relative importance



Figure 22: The six most important emitter countries or regions, with respect to their effects on $PM_{2.5}$ in Austria that would result from reduction in emissions. Left: using EMEP emissions, right: using EMEPwRef2C emissions. For information about EMEPwRef2C see the paragraph about *Emissions* in Section 1.1.

Summary condensable organics

- Better comparison to observations of PM_{2.5} (also OC) when 'including condensables' consistently with TNO Ref2 estimate
- Country-to-itself increases up to factor 2-5 in most extreme cases, little for some countries varies a lot
- Country-to-itself versus import contributions change for some countries (sector contributions)
- Visit country report/SR tables for more details for your country, or web interface for comparison to observations
- Caveat: PM assumed inert!

Other comments

- Many countries will need help in implementing new methods for estimating condensables - this help should be available through comparison with data from similar countries, with Ref2 assumptions, the Guidebook, TFEIP, TFTEI, and from participants of this workshop.
- Much data and experience is available from the US EPA, and work towards consideration of this can begin now.
- Move towards more explicit PM emission split SO4, EC, OM25_filt, OM25_condensables would help
- Generally prepare for more detailed emission reporting requirements nationally and in Guidebook.
- Don't let the perfect be the enemy of the good!

Acknowledgements

Main funding from:

Nordic Council of Ministers - for meeting and follow-up work

And also:

- EMEP under UN-ECE additional funding for Met Norway
- Participants also for flexibility and helpful responses to corona-complications!
- Stefan Åström for zooming us out of trouble when the meeting was covid-cancelled.

Shame we missed Göteborg!

