Spotkanie Grupy Ekspertów

ds. techniczno-ekonomicznych aspektów ograniczenia emisji zanieczyszczeń Warszawa, 21-22 listopad, 2011

## Can combustion of coal in large power plants be regarded as environmental friendly source of energy?

Professor Wojciech Nowak Czestochowa University of Technology Poland

wnowak@is.pcz.czest.pl

#### World primary energy demand in the Reference Scenario: this is unsustainable! IEA WEO 2008



World energy demand expands by 45% between now and 2030 – an average rate of increase of 1.6% per year – with coal accounting for more than a third of the overall rise

#### Total power generation capacity today and in 2030 by scenario



In the 450 Policy Scenario, the power sector undergoes a dramatic change – with CCS, renewables and nuclear each playing a crucial role

## **Coal is there !**



## Reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> emission in 2030 according to the 550 ppm scenario



#### Reduction = 7,6 Gton $CO_2$ /year

IEA Energy Outlook 2008

What tonnage are we talking about?

In relation to NOx, SOx, ash streams the scale of  $CO_2$  is disproportionately higher

600 MW power block generates about:

- **8 000 tons/years of NOx**
- 30 000 tons/year of SO<sub>2</sub>
- > 150 000 tons/year of ash
  - ➡ 4 500 000 ton/year of CO<sub>2</sub> !!

The transport and storage are another problematic elements

## **CO<sub>2</sub> and the EU**

- Currently the world CO<sub>2</sub> emission is about 28 bilion tons/year of which EU accounts for about 4 billion tons/year (about 15%)
- The need for global action, otherwise the CO<sub>2</sub> will increase by 2030 to 50 billion tons
- Possibility of CO<sub>2</sub> reduction by 20% or even 30%, in 2050 85-95%!!
- No rules in many countries outside EU
- Acute EU policy in the subject of CO<sub>2</sub> can lead to deterioration of competitivness and relocation of production outside EU, where standards are less restrictive

## **Restrictions in coal options**

- The increase in CO<sub>2</sub> emission must be stopped
  - All emitters, not only those from coal
  - O Dates and levels of stabilization are unknown
  - Sustained efforts are a critical aspect
  - Environmental pollution and climate changes
  - Safety during mining, transport and storing
  - Availability of area for CCS
  - O Costs

# Without CCS fossil fuels have no future

This is the only solution for energy production, cement industry, metallurgy, etc. This applies to all fuels, not only coal.

## Thinking for today and tomorrow

- Costs will increase until solution is found
  - the cost of solution is unknown
- Successful technological solutions will be the cheapest
- The perception of society will be dependent on actual events
  - what happens to the climate will affect actual actions and society perception
- Perhaps global warming will be slower than expected
- Warming is much faster in the Arctic
- There may appear extreme weather events

## **Technological options**

- There is a lack of comercially available key technologies
- An urgent need to demonstrate CCS
- The best solution building new units with CCS, rather than upgrading existing units
- Steps: a gradual closing of classic power plants and construction of poligeneration systems + coal units with CCS

- Blue scenario (emissions in 2050 – a half of those from 2005) requires \$13-\$16 trillion for R&D and Demo
- The role of gas and nuclear energy, as well as RES, will increase
- We can't choose one technology, all promising ones should be considered
- Lack of funds for R&D a need for breakthrough technologies
- IEA "A global revolution is needed in ways that energy is supplied and used"

#### **Carbon capture and storage (CCS)**



## **Schedule of activities**

- **Option 1**: It's already too late
- We passed on the first red light
- We can't stop before the next
- The need to minimize the damage in order not to cause a collision
- Option 2: the solution is hundreds of years of effort
- A delay of 20-50 years in the development of infrastructure (pipelines etc.)
- 50 years in the development of existing infrastructure (storages)
- 50 years of effort to decrease CO<sub>2</sub> emission



#### What can "capture-ready" means when it comes to an installation?

	Element	Low rate of "CSS ready"	+ additionally for a medium rate of CSS ready	+ additionally for a high rate of CSS ready	
CO <sub>2</sub> capture installation	Location of the object	Location of the object must include future aspect of transport and underground storage of CO2 ("potential feasibility").			
	Choice of capture technology	An identification of at least one capture technology for potential application must be done.			
	Project of capture installation	Technical feasibility study	FEED (front-end engineering and design study)	EPC (Engineering, Procurement and Construction)	
	Cost rating of capture installation	Economical feasibility study	FEED cost study	Implementation of cost study of EPC	
	Installation	Securing a place for the required equipment and future building area. No investment or investment at a minimum.	Preliminary investments on a medium scale.	Very advanced investments in installation	
•	Social acceptance	Inform the public about possible expansion of installation in the direction of CCS by a website or other activities (unspecified at this stage)	Identify areas of cooperation with local community at the stage of CCS project	Social acceptance for building project of CCS installation	
	Analysis of environmental aspects of capture installation	A preliminary environmental assessement	An environmental impact report	Obtain all necessary environmental permits	
	Building permit	Feasibility study for obtaining all necessary permits	Preparation of necessary documents	Obtain all necessary permits (economic, environmental) for implementation of capture installation	
	Business associations, involvement of partners	A list of companies (partners) necessary for implementation of building phase and exploitation of capture installation in order to prove technical feasibility of the project	Signing intentional letters with potential main partners (technology providers, research institutions)	Signing conditional contracts with all the companies involved in construction and later exploitation of capture installation.	
	Ongoing involvement of installation operator	No	Annual update of actual state	Start mechanisms for implementation of CCS technology after certain date or after creation of certain circumstances (eg. reaching of a certain level of commercialization of technology).	

#### What can "capture-ready" means when it comes to transport pipelines?

	Element	Low rate of "CSS ready"	+ additionally for a medium rate of CSS ready	+ additionally for a high rate of CSS ready
CO <sub>2</sub> pipeline	Chioce of a channel for a $CO_2$ pipeline	Identification of a single pipeline route or several variants of the route	Obtaining options for the right for route	Obtaining rights for a route
	Social acceptance	Inform the public about possible expansion of installation in the direction of CCS by a website or other activities (unspecified at this stage)	Identify areas of cooperation with local community at the stage of a project	Finding social acceptance at a plannig stage
	Project of a pipeline	Technical feasibility study that include possible collision of a pipeline route with other potential lines of CO2 supply to other landfills	FEED (front-end engineering and design study)	EPC (Engineering, Procurement and Construction)
	Cost rating of capture installation	Economical feasibility study	FEED cost study	Implementation of cost study of EPC
	Analysis of environmental aspects of a pipeline	A preliminary environmental assassement	An environmental impact rapport	Obtain all necessary environmental permits
	Building permit	Feasibility study for obtaining all necessary permits	Preparation of necessary documents (applications)	Obtain all necessary permits (economic, environmental) for implementation of a pipeline
	Business associations, involvement of partners	A list of companies (partners) necessary for implementation of building phase and exploatation of pipeline in order to prove technical feasibility of the project	Signing intentional letters (disobliging) with potential main partners (technology providers, research institutions)	Signing conditional contracts with all the companies involved in construction of a pipeline or joining a consortium in order to develop a coordinated network of pipelines
	Ongoing involvement of installation operator	No	Annual update of actual state	Start mechanisms for implementation of CCS technology after certain date or after creation of certain circumstances (eg. reaching of a certain level of commercialisation of technology).

#### What can "capture-ready" means when it comes to storage areas?

	Item	Low rate of "CSS ready"	+ additionally for a medium rate of CSS ready	+ additionally for a high rate of CSS ready
Storage location	Choice of location for the landfill	Identification of one (or variants) location of the landfill with sufficient capacity for a specified number of years of exploitation	Options for obtaining one or more landfills	Obtaining a right for one landfill
	Landfill capacity verification	Preliminary analysis of capacity and the potential volume of the landfill, taking into account the modeling of the long-term landfill behaviour. Assessment of the location of such in terms of eg. access (difficulty), soil conditions (drilling difficulties), etc.	Preliminary drilling, geochemical analysis, verificatin of developed landfill behaviour models.	Seismic calculations in 3D conditions, the implementation of the CO2 injection tests, a final verification of previously prepared simulation models
	Social acceptance	Inform the public about possible expansion of installation in the direction of CCS by a website or other activities (unspecified at this stage)	Identify areas of cooperation with local community at the stage of a project	Finding social acceptance at a planing stage
	Project of a landfill	Technical feasibility study that include possible collision of a pipeline route with other potential lines of CO2 supply to other landfills	FEED (front-end engineering and design study)	EPC (Engineering, Procurement and Construction)
	Cost rating of a landfill together with the infrastructure	Economical feasibility study	FEED cost study	Implementation of cost study of EPC
	Analysis of environmental aspects of a storage location	A preliminary environmental assessment	An environmental impact report	Obtain all necessary environmental permits
	Building permit for a landfill together with the infrastructure	Feasibility study for obtaining all necessary permits	Preparation of necessary documents (applications)	Obtain all necessary permits (economic, environmental) for implementation of a landfill
	Business associations, involvement of partners	A list of companies (partners) necessary for implementation of building phase and exploatation of pipeline in order to prove technical feasibility of the project	Signing intentional letters (disobliging) with potential main partners (technology providers, research institutions)	Signing conditional contracts with all the companies involved in construction of a landfiill or joining a consortium in order to develop a coordinated network of landfills
	Ongoing involvement of installation operator	No	Annual update of actual state	Start mechanisms for implementation of CCS technology after certain date or after creation of certain circumstances (eg. reaching of a certain level of commercialisation of technology).

## **Emission reduction options**

#### Main approaches:

- Pre-combustion measures: improved efficiency of energy production, coal washing, substitution of fuels,
- Post-combustion measures: CCS
- Co-control of climate gases and air pollutants (GHGs, PM, SO<sub>2</sub>, NOx)
- Pollutant specific emission control technologies

#### Selection of appropriate measures depend on:

- Current technology (different measures may be most cost efficient in different regions)
- Rules, regulations etc.
- Economic and social factors

#### **FUTURE COAL-FIRED PLANT**



## **Technology options**



#### CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction as a result of technological changes



Increase of efficiency results in significant effects, but only CCS leads to real  $CO_2$  emission reduction.

## The Reference Scenario: Efficiency improvements in coal-fired generation

**IEA WEO 2008** 



The average efficiency of coal-fired generation rises from 34% in 2006 to 38% in 2030, as supercritical technology initially & then ultra-supercritical become widespread

### **Patnow: Boiler View & Technical Data**



Steam Capacity :
Live steam pressure :
Live steam temp. :
Reheat steam press.: Reheat steam temp :

1374 t/h 266 bar 544 °C 52 bar 568 °C

Mills: wheel type 8 beater

Height of boilerhouse : 114 m

Furnace aspect length : 17 m

Unit net efficiency : 40,8 %

Alstom, Clean Coal Technologies for Poland Tomorrow Needs, January 2007

### **Belchatow: boiler & technical data**



Gross capacity : 858 MWe
Steam capacity : 2484 t/h
Live pressure : 266 bar
Live steam temp. : 568 °C
Reheat steam press.: 55 bar
Reheat steam temp : 587 °C
Mills : 8 beater wheel type
Height of boilerhouse : 143 m
Furnace aspect length : 23 m
Unit net efficiency : 41.7 %



#### Lagisza Supercritical CFBC – new design

The world's first CFBC unit with supercritical steam conditions

Largest CFBC; 460 MWe

Start-up in 2009

Emissions of SOx, NOx and particulates lower than required by latest EU LCPD limits.

Located to NE of Katowice, Poland



www.iea-coal.org.uk



#### Very high energy consumption for CO<sub>2</sub> capturing

## SEPARATION OF CO<sub>2</sub> – ABSORPTION vs OXY-COMBUSTION



The case of PGE Elektrownia Bełchatów





**Oxy-combustion Vattenfall and our proposal** 

Bottom as

#### CIUDEN'S TDP 3D VIEW



All objects of a specific combustion energy power, for which the construction license or permit to operate will be granted after the entry into force of CCS Directive, are required to have on-site a suitable area for a CCS installation if the suitable storages are available and the CO2 transport and a modernization for Co2 capture are technically and economically feasible (Section 46 of the Preamble)



Additional area for development of ASU and  $CO_2$  capture installations

## Technical specification of postcombustion CCS

- Post-combustion technology based on "advanced amine" process
- 1.8 million tones of CO2 captured and injected into geological formation per annum (260 MWe, 90% capture rate)
- Transport compressed CO2 at supercritical phase using pipeline to storage site within 60-140 km
- Storage at deep saline aquifers

#### **Implementation of the CCS – the main obstacles**

#### New technologies in the pilot phase

- risk of implementation
- cost

#### **Permissions for CO<sub>2</sub> storage**

- not in my backyard
- a strong opposition of local authorities
- storage on land is very expensive

#### **Political uncertainty**

- introduction of European Trading System
- support on national and EU level

#### THE (CONVENTIONAL) COMBUSTION OF COAL IN THE AIR



- addition of  $O_2$  to the nozzles that lead air to the combustion chamber (*oxygen enrichement*),
- injection of  $O_2$  to the flame ( $O_2$  lancing),
- providing air and pure oxygen separately tinto the combustion chamber (*air-oxygen combustion*),
- substitution of air by pure oxygen (*oxygen combustion*).

#### **Combustion in oxygen – CCS without CO<sub>2</sub> capture**



### **Oxy-combustion in PC and CFB boilers**



# Example of emission control in a coal-fired power plant



#### Can coal combustion be environment friendly? YES, it can, BUT:

- New, highly efficient combustion technologies are needed to produce electricity and heat (new blocks with supercritical vapor conditions, cogeneration, hybrid systems, etc).
- Carbon dioxide emissions should be reduced through the implementation of pre-combustion, post-combustion methods, or combustion in oxygen.
- CCS technologies should be implemented mainly in new power stations (storage of carbon monoxide should be resolved).
- □ Co-control technologies should be employed to reduce emissions of various contaminants, such as mercury (e.g. various adsorbers).
- Cost of the above technologies should not lead to deterioration of competitivness and relocation of energy production outside EU, where standards are less restrictive.

#### **Emission reductions are achievable**

Thank you for your attention